

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PS O Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www upple gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,327	10/03/2005	Akio Inui	480.1001	7240
23280 7590 06/04/2009 Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC			EXAMINER	
485 7th Avenue			DANG, IAN D	
14th Floor New York, N	č 10018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,327 INULET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit IAN DANG 1647 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4 and 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/17/2009 6) Other:

#### DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/12/2009 has been entered.

### Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The amendment of 17 April 2009 has been entered in full. Claims 1-3, 5-9, and 11-13 have been cancelled.

Claims 4 and 10 are under examination.

### Specification

The new title provided by Applicants reciting "Method and Composition for Treating or Preventing Diabetes Mellitus" have overcome objection to the title.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 02/17/2009 has been considered by the Examiner. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Objections

The objection made to claim 4 has been withdrawn because Applicants have amended claim 4 with "a patient with diabetes mellitus".

Application/Control Number: 10/520,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

## Rejection Maintained

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 and 10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Andersen et al. (US 2001/0020012 A1, published September 6, 2001, filed January 29, 2001).

At page 5 of the response, Applicants argue that Anderson merely confirms in Examples 3-5 that administration of the compounds (adenosine, NN703, NNC26-1187, NNC26-1291 and ghrelin) all of which are recognized to be GHS-R agonists stimulate feeding and such a vague description in Andersen, which requires undue experimentation by one of skill in the art, is not appropriate as a basis for denying novelty under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

Although the Examiner agrees with Applicant that the reference by Anderson recites examples of compounds to stimulate feeding, it also clearly discloses a method for the treatment of type II diabetes by administering a GHS-R antagonist (see pages 4-5 of the office action mailed 11/13/2008) meeting the limitations of claim 4. The teaching of Anderson anticipates the claimed invention and the issue regarding the enabling disclosure of Anderson is not relevant to the arguments regarding the anticipation by the reference Anderson.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,327

Art Unit: 1647

At page 6 of the response, Applicants argue that in order to conclude by the logic of inherency that GHS-R antagonist lowers blood glucose level based on the disclosure of Anderson, the Examiner is required to show that one of ordinary skill in the art who reads Anderson will recognizes that GHS-R antagonist lowers blood glucose level.

Applicants' argument is not persuasive because it is well known in the art that the treatment of diabetes type II includes the reduction of glucose levels in these patients. Patients develop type II diabetes because they do not have sufficient insulin to lower their glucose levels. Based on these teachings regarding type II diabetes, one of skill in the art who reads Anderson GHS-R used for the treatment of diabetes would know that the GHS-R would lower blood glucose levels.

In addition, Applicants' argument is not persuasive because the recitation "...lowering the blood glucose level..." has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and Application/Control Number: 10/520,327

Art Unit: 1647

art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IAN DANG whose telephone number is (571)272-5014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lan Dang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1647 May 28, 2009

> /Robert Landsman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647